

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany and Korea, 701-TA-350 and 731-TA- 616 and 618 (Third Review)

On April 9, 2012, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)).

The Commission received individually adequate responses, containing company-specific information, from Apollo Metals Ltd. (“Apollo Metals”), Thomas Steel Strip Corp. (“Thomas Steel”), AK Steel Corp. (“AK Steel”); ArcelorMittal USA, LLC (“ArcelorMittal USA”); Nucor Corp. (“Nucor”); Steel Dynamics Inc. (“SDI”); United States Steel Corp. (“U.S. Steel”), and ThyssenKrupp Steel USA, LLC (“TKSUSA”), domestic producers of corrosion-resistant steel. Because Apollo Metals, Thomas Steel, AK Steel, ArcelorMittal USA, Nucor, SDI, U.S. Steel, and TKSUSA account for a significant percentage of domestic corrosion-resistant steel production, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate.

The Commission received individually adequate responses concerning the order on corrosion-resistant steel from Germany from Salzgitter Flachstahl GmbH (“Salzgitter”) and ThyssenKrupp Steel Europe AG (“TKSE”), German producers of corrosion-resistant steel, and from ThyssenKrupp Steel USA, LLC (“TKSUSA”), a U.S. importer of corrosion-resistant steel from Germany. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group response was adequate with respect to the order on corrosion-resistant steel from Germany because these respondents accounted for a significant share of the production of subject merchandise in Germany.

The Commission received individually adequate responses concerning the order on corrosion-resistant steel from Korea from POSCO, Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd, (“Dongbu”), Union Steel Co., Ltd. (“Union”), and Hyundai HYSCO Co. (“HYSCO”), Korean producers of corrosion-resistant steel. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group response was adequate with respect to the order on corrosion-resistant steel from Korea because these respondents accounted for a significant share of the production of subject merchandise in Korea.

Because all of the group responses were adequate, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews in this proceeding.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and on the Commission’s website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).