

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

### *Ferrovandium and Nitrided Vanadium from Russia* Inv. No. 731-TA-702 (Third Review)

On December 5, 2011, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)).<sup>1</sup>

The Commission received individually adequate responses, containing company-specific information, from Gulf Chemical and Metallurgical Corp. (“Gulf”), a wholesaler of ferrovandium; Bear Metallurgical Co. (“Bear”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Gulf and U.S. producer of ferrovandium; and AMG Vanadium, Inc. (“AMG Vanadium”), a U.S. producer of ferrovandium. Because Gulf, Bear, and AMG Vanadium account for a significant percentage of domestic ferrovandium production, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate.

The Commission received individually adequate responses from the EVRAZ Group, S.A. and from its subsidiaries OAO Vanady-Tula (a foreign producer of the subject merchandise) and East Metals AG, East Metals (North America), LLC, and Stratcor, Inc. (U.S. wholesalers of the domestic like product). Because these respondents account for a significant share of the production of subject merchandise in Russia, the Commission found that the respondent interested party group response was adequate.

Because both group responses were adequate, the Commission determined to conduct a full review in this proceeding.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and on the Commission’s website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

---

<sup>1</sup> Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun did not participate.