

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY

in

*Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain*  
Inv. Nos. 731-TA-678, 679, 681, and 682 (Third Review)

On March 5, 2012, the Commission determined to conduct expedited reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(3)(b).

The Commission received a joint response to the notice of institution filed on behalf of domestic interested parties Carpenter Technology Corporation; Crucible Industries, LLC; Electralloy a G.O. Carlson Inc. Co.; Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc.; and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc., domestic producers of stainless steel bar. The Commission found this joint response to be individually adequate for each of the responding firms. The Commission further determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate for each of the orders under review.

TRW Automotive (“TRW”) and Eaton Corporation (“Eaton”) also submitted individual responses to the notice of institution, and TRW commented on adequacy. As industrial users/purchasers of stainless steel bar manufactured in subject countries and the United States, neither TRW nor Eaton qualifies under the statutory definition (19 U.S.C. § 1677(9)) as an interested party.

The Commission did not receive a response from any respondent interested party in these reviews and, therefore, determined that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate for each of the reviews.

The Commission did not find any circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review of any order. The Commission, therefore, determined to conduct expedited reviews of all orders.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission’s web site (<http://www.usitc.gov>).