

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

*Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from China and Taiwan,*  
Invs. No. 731-TA-298 and 299 (Second Review)

and

*Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from Korea and Taiwan*  
Invs. No. 701-TA-267 and 731-TA-304 and 305 (Second Review)

On June 6, 2005, the Commission<sup>1</sup> determined that it should proceed to expedited reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(3)(B). A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission's web site (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

### ***Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from China and Taiwan***

The Commission received one response from Columbian Home Products, LLC ("Columbian"), the sole domestic producer of porcelain-on-steel cooking ware. The Commission determined that Columbian's response was individually adequate. The Commission also determined that Columbian's response represented an adequate domestic interested party group response.

The Commission did not receive a response from any respondent interested party. Consequently, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review. The Commission therefore determined to conduct an expedited review.

### ***Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from Korea and Taiwan***

With regard to domestic interested parties, the Commission received one response from the Stainless Steel Cookware Committee ("Committee"). With regard to respondent interested parties, the Commission received only a response from the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the United States, Economic Division ("TECRO"). TECRO is the Republic of China's principal representative office in the United States and thus an interested party. In the review concerning Korea, the Commission received no response from respondent interested parties.

The Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because the Committee's producing members comprised a majority of domestic production and shipments of top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware in 2004. Because no respondent interested party responded to the notice in the review concerning Korea, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party group response for this review was inadequate. With regard to the review concerning Taiwan, the Commission determined that TECRO's response was individually adequate. However, the Commission did not receive

---

<sup>1</sup> Vice Chairman Okun and Commissioner Pearson dissenting.

any responses from Taiwanese producers/exporters or U.S. importers and nothing in TECRO's response indicated that it would be able to provide the type of information collected in a full review. Thus, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate in the review concerning Taiwan. The Commission therefore determined to conduct an expedited review.