

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

*Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia,  
Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*  
Inv. Nos. 701-TA-417 and 731-TA-953, 954, 957-959, 961, and 962 (Review)

On December 10, 2007 the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5).

The Commission received a consolidated response to the notice of institution from a group of five domestic interested parties. This group consisted of domestic steel wire rod producers Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc., ISG Georgetown, Inc., Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., Nucor Corp., and Rocky Mountain Steel Mills (collectively “Domestic Producers.”) The Commission found each of the individual domestic interested party responses to be adequate. Because Domestic Producers account for the majority of U.S. steel wire rod production, the Commission additionally found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate for all reviews.

With respect to the review on steel wire rod from Canada, the Commission received an individually adequate respondent interested party response from Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. and Sivaco Ontario (jointly “Ivaco”), which are producers and exporters of subject merchandise from Canada. Because Ivaco accounts for a majority of both production and exports to the United States of subject merchandise from Canada, the Commission concluded that the respondent interested party group response for this review was adequate.

With respect to the review on steel wire rod from Moldova, the Commission received an individually adequate respondent interested party response from JSCC Moldova Steel Works (“Moldova Steel”), a producer of subject merchandise in Moldova. Because Moldova Steel accounts for all known production of subject merchandise in Moldova, the Commission concluded that the respondent interested party group response for this review was adequate.

With respect to the review on steel wire rod from Mexico, the Commission received an individually adequate interested party response from Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. (“Hylsa”), a producer, exporter, and importer of subject merchandise from Mexico. The Commission determined that the Mexican respondent interested party group response was inadequate because Hylsa accounts for only a relatively small share of production or exports to the United States of subject merchandise from Mexico, or of U.S. imports of such merchandise. However, the Commission determined to conduct a full review of the order on Mexico in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews with respect to the orders on Canada and Moldova.

The Commission received no respondent interested party responses with respect to the reviews on steel wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine. Accordingly, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party response was inadequate in each of these reviews. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on Brazil, Indonesia, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews with respect to the orders in the other reviews.

A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission's web site ([www.usitc.gov](http://www.usitc.gov)).