

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY

in

Cased Pencils from China
Inv. No. 731-TA-669 (Third Review)

On February 4, 2011, the Commission determined that it should proceed to an expedited review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(3)(B).

The Commission received two submissions from four domestic interested parties in response to the notice of institution. One response was filed on behalf of Sanford, L.P., General Pencil Co., Inc., and Musgrave Pencil Co., three domestic producers of cased pencils. The other was filed by domestic producer Dixon Ticonderoga Co. The Commission found the individual responses to be adequate, and determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate.

The Commission received no response from any respondent interested party, and therefore determined that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. In the absence of an adequate respondent interested party group response or any other circumstances warranting a full review, the Commission determined to conduct an expedited review.¹

A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission's web site (www.usitc.gov).

¹ Commissioners Daniel R. Pearson and Shara L. Aranoff found that circumstances warranted conducting a full review of the antidumping duty order and therefore voted to conduct a full review.