

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

*Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, China, and The United Arab Emirates, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-1131, 1132, and 1134 (Review)*

On January 23, 2014, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c).

The Commission received a joint response to the notice of institution from three U.S. producers of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip ("PET film"): DuPont Teijin Films; Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc.; and SKC Inc. The Commission found the responses of each of these domestic producers to be individually adequate. The Commission also received a response to the notice of institution from Terphane Inc., a U.S. producer of PET film, and found the response of this domestic producer to be individually adequate. Moreover, the Commission found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because these four companies combined accounted for a substantial share of domestic production.

The Commission also received adequate individual responses concerning the antidumping duty order on PET film from Brazil filed jointly by Terphane, Ltda, a producer and exporter of PET film in Brazil, and Terphane Inc., a U.S. importer of PET film from Brazil. With respect to the antidumping duty order on PET film from the United Arab Emirates ("UAE"), the Commission received an adequate individual response filed by JBF RAK LLC, an importer, producer, and exporter of PET film from the UAE. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group responses were adequate with respect to the orders on PET film from Brazil and the UAE because respondents from each of these countries accounted for a significant share of the production of subject merchandise in their respective countries.

Because the group responses from both the domestic interested parties and the respondent interested parties were adequate, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on PET film from Brazil and the UAE.

In its response to the notice of institution, one importer provided information concerning its subject imports from China. The Commission determined that, while this response was individually adequate, it accounted for only a small share of subject imports from China and, therefore, the respondent interested party group response with regard to the review of the order on PET film from China was inadequate. The Commission, however, determined to conduct a full review of the order on PET film from China to promote administrative efficiency in light of the Commission's determination to conduct full reviews of the orders on PET film from Brazil and the UAE.

A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission's website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).