

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY

in

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from China Inv. No. 731-TA-1092 (Review)

On May 20, 2014, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c).

The Commission received three responses to its notice of institution. The first submission was filed by the Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition ("DSMC") and its individual members, Diamond Products Ltd., Terra Diamond, and Western Saw, Inc., all domestic producers of diamond sawblades. DSMC and its members support continuation of the order. The second submission was filed on behalf of Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc., a domestic producer of the domestic like product and an importer of subject merchandise, and Husqvarna (Hebei) Co., Ltd., a Chinese producer and exporter of the subject merchandise (collectively "Husqvarna parties"). The Husqvarna parties support revocation of the order. The third submission was filed on behalf of Saint-Gobain Abrasives (Shanghai) Co. Ltd., a Chinese producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, and Saint-Gobain Abrasives-North America, a U.S. importer of the subject merchandise, each of which supports revocation of the order. The Commission found the individual responses of all of the aforementioned firms to the Commission's notice of institution to be adequate.

The Commission further determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because responding domestic producers accounted for a significant percentage of domestic diamond sawblades production in 2013.

The Commission found that the responses from producers and importers of the subject merchandise accounted for only a small share of either production of subject merchandise in China or subject imports from China. It therefore determined that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission also found, however, that circumstances warranted conducting a full review. The Commission therefore determined to conduct a full review.

A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission's web site (<http://www.usitc.gov>).