

## EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

*Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses from China and Indonesia*

Inv. Nos. 701-TA-470-471 and 731-TA-1169-1170 (Review)

On January 4, 2016, the Commission unanimously determined to conduct full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c).

The Commission received a joint response to the notice of institution from Verso Corporation, S.D. Warren Company d/b/a Sappi North America, and Appleton Coated LLC, which are U.S. producers of certain coated paper suitable for high-quality print graphics using sheet-fed presses (“coated paper”), and from the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC, which represents workers at domestic coated paper production facilities. The Commission found each domestic interested party’s response to be individually adequate. The Commission further determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because the responding domestic producers accounted for a substantial portion of domestic coated paper production in 2014.

The Commission also received a joint response to its notice of institution from PT. Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills (“Pindo Deli”) and PT. Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia, Tbk (“Tjiwi Kimia”), which are Indonesian producers and exporters of the subject merchandise. The Commission determined that these responses were individually adequate. The Commission found the Indonesian respondent interested party group response to be adequate because Pindo Deli and Tjiwi Kimia account for a substantial share of the production of subject merchandise in Indonesia and exports of that merchandise to the United States. Accordingly, the Commission determined to proceed to full reviews of the orders on subject merchandise from Indonesia.

The Commission did not receive a response from any producer or exporter in China and therefore determined that the respondent interested party group response with respect to the reviews of the orders on subject merchandise from China was inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning subject merchandise from China to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews of the orders on subject merchandise from Indonesia.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission’s website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).