

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from India, Indonesia, and Korea
Inv. Nos. 701-TA-388, 389, and 391 and 731-TA-817, 818, and 821 (Third Review)

On March 6, 2017, the Commission unanimously determined to conduct full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(3)(B).

The Commission received a joint response to the notice of institution submitted on behalf of three domestic producers of cut-to-length carbon steel plate (“CTL plate”): (1) Arcelor Mittal USA, Inc., (2) Nucor Corp., and (3) SSAB Enterprises LLC. The Commission found each domestic interested party’s response to be individually adequate. The Commission further determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because the responding domestic producers accounted for a substantial portion of domestic CTL plate production in 2015.

The Commission also received responses to its notice of institution from PT. Krakatau Posco, and PT. Krakatau Steel (Persero) Tbk., producers of subject merchandise from Indonesia. The Commission determined that each of these responses was individually adequate.¹ The Commission found the respondent interested party group response to be adequate for the reviews on CTL plate from Indonesia because the responding producers accounted for a substantial share of the production of subject merchandise in Indonesia in 2015. Accordingly, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on CTL plate from Indonesia.

The Commission did not receive a response from any producer, importer, or exporter of CTL plate from India or Korea, and therefore determined that the respondent interested party group response with respect to the reviews of the orders on CTL plate from India and Korea was inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning the orders on CTL plate from India and Korea to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews of the orders on CTL plate from Indonesia.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission’s website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

¹ Commission regulations do not require that an interested party responding to a notice of institution in a five-year review also enter an appearance. See generally 19 C.F.R. § 207.62.